arXiv:1407.5741v1 [astro-ph.SR] 22 Jul 2014

ACTA ASTRONOMICA
Vol. **0** (2014) pp. 0–0

The study of triple systems V819 Her, V2388 Oph, and V1031 Ori

Zasche, P.[1], Uhlář, R.[2], Svoboda, P.[3]

[1] Astronomical Institute, Charles University Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, V Holešovičkách 2, Praha 8, CZ-180 00, Czech Republic
e-mail: zasche@sirrah.troja.mff.cuni.cz
[2] Private Observatory, Pohoří 71, Jílové u Prahy, CZ-254 01, Czech Republic
[3] Private observatory, Výpustky 5, Brno, CZ-614 00 Czech Republic

Received Month Day, Year

ABSTRACT

The systems V819 Her, V2388 Oph, and V1031 Ori are triples comprised of an eclipsing binary orbiting with a distant visual component on a longer orbit. A detailed analysis of these interesting systems, combining the two observational techniques: interferometry and apparent period variation, was performed. The interferometric data for these three systems obtained during the last century determine the visual orbits of the distant components in the systems. The combined analysis of the positional measurements together with the analysis of apparent period changes of the eclipsing binary (using the minima timings) can be used to study these systems in a combined approach, resulting in a set of parameters otherwise unobtainable without the radial velocities. The main advantage of the technique presented here is the fact that one needs no spectroscopic monitoring of the visual orbits, which have rather long periods: 5.5 yr for V819 Her, 9.0 yr for V2388 Oph, and 31.3 yr for V1031 Ori, respectively. The eccentricities of the outer orbits are 0.69, 0.33, and 0.92, respectively. Moreover, the use of minima timings of the eclipsing pairs help us to derive the orientation of the orbit in space with no ambiguity around the celestial plane. And finally, the combined analysis yielded also an independent determination of the distance of V819 Her (68.7 ± 1.8 pc), and V2388 Oph (70.6 ± 8.9 pc). We also present a list of similar systems, which would be suitable for a combined analysis like this one.

Key words: *binaries: eclipsing – binaries: visual – stars: fundamental parameters – stars: individual: V819 Her, V2388 Oph, V1031 Ori.*

1. Introduction

Multiple stellar systems (i.e. of multiplicity three and higher) are excellent objects to be studied. The importance of such systems lies in the fact that we can study the stellar evolution in them, their origin, tidal interaction, testing the influence of the distant components to the close pair, Kozai cycles, studying the dynamical effects and precession of the orbits, but also the statistics and relative

frequency of such systems among the stars in our Galaxy (and outside), see e.g. Tokovinin (2007), Guinan & Engle (2006), or Goodwin & Kroupa (2005).

A few years ago we introduced (Zasche & Wolf 2007) a new method of combining the different observational techniques: namely the analysis of the visual orbit (positional measurements of double stars obtained via interferometry, in former times via micrometry) together with the apparent period changes of the eclipsing pair (study of times-of-minima variation), into one simultaneous fitting procedure. This approach deals with very favourable triple systems, where one of its components is an eclipsing binary, while the distant component is being observed via interferometry. In general, for the triple systems the orbital periods of the wide orbits are usually the crucial issue. The shorter periods are mostly being discovered via spectroscopic monitoring, while the longer ones via interferometric detection of the distant components. The space in between these two methods is often harvested via a so-called Light-Time Effect (hereafter LITE, see e.g. Irwin 1959), which is able to discover the orbits of components with orbital periods from hundreds of days to hundreds of years (Zakirov 2010). The whole method is using a well-known effect of detecting apparent periodic shifting of the eclipsing binary period as the eclipsing pair revolves around a barycenter with the third component. In principle, every triple system with an eclipsing binary should display some amount of apparent periodic modulation of the inner eclipsing period (the only exception is the face-on orientation of the wide orbit). Hence, a long-term monitoring of such systems can be very fruitful. Therefore, in 2006 we started photometric monitoring of selected systems, resulting partly in the present paper.

Raghavan et al. (2010) published their results about the multiplicity fraction of the solar-type stars as compared with previous results on different spectral types (showing that the multiplicity fraction rapidly decreases to lower mass stars). Hence, one can expect that also the number of multiples within the group of eclipsing binaries would be large. On the other hand, there is still a limited number of such systems, for which both inner and outer orbits are known. The problem is usually the period of the outer orbit (sometimes of the order of hundreds of years), and surprisingly also the brightness of the systems. The stars observed via interferometry need to be rather bright (usually <10mag), but for such bright targets the photometry is often hard to obtain because these can easily saturate the modern CCD detectors mount on even modest telescopes. And finally, also the presence of the third component makes the eclipses of the inner pair more shallow due to its brightness (the third component often cannot be separated and is also observed in the aperture). All of these reasons make such triple systems rather rare and unusual, and currently we still know only about 30 visual multiple systems with eclipsing components for which both orbits are known (see e.g. Zasche et al. 2009).

2. The approach for the analysis

From the potentially interesting systems suitable for the combined analysis, we have chosen three stars, following the criteria introduced here. All of the triple stars are rather bright: $V = 5.57$ mag for V819 Her, $V = 6.26$ mag for V2388 Oph, and $V = 6.06$ mag for V1031 Ori; all of them are observable from our observatories in the Czech Republic, all have rather deep minima (which make them suitable for small telescopes), and all have rather short periods of the visual orbits (5.5 to 31.3 years).

For the three selected stars, we used the following approach. At first, all of the available interferometric observations were collected and analysed. These measurements are stored e.g. in the "Washington Double Star Catalog"[1] (hereafter WDS, Mason et al. 2001), together with the orbital information (if available). Analysing the complete set of observations, we obtained an updated solution of the visual orbit and the set of its parameters $(a, p, i, e, \omega, \Omega, T)$, where a is the semi-major axis, p is the period of the outer orbit, i is its inclination, e the eccentricity, ω the argument of periastron, Ω the longitude of the ascending node, and T the time of periastron passage. The least-squares method and the simplex algorithm was used (see e.g. Kallrath & Linnell 1987) for computing.

With this solution, we collected all available times of minima observations, mostly stored in the online database[2], Paschke & Brát (2006). Many new observations for these three systems were also obtained and the times of minima derived by the authors, see the tables in the Appendix section. Having a preliminary solution of the visual orbit and plotting the available times of minima in the $O-C$ diagram, one can easily judge whether a system is suitable for a combined analysis or not.

The selection mechanism was rather easy - sufficient coverage of the long orbit at least in part of its period, in the best case the whole period p covered by both methods, especially during the periastron passages. With the values of parameters derived from the visual orbit, the times of minima were analysed using the LITE hypothesis (see e.g. Mayer 1990). This led to the set of the LITE parameters $(p, A, e, T, \omega_1, JD_0, P)$, where JD_0 and P are the linear ephemerides of the eclipsing pair, and A is the amplitude of the LITE. This amplitude tells us what is the magnitude of the delay caused by the third body, hence its value is largely affected by the mass of the third body and inclination between the orbits. The angles ω_1 derived from the LITE and ω derived from the visual orbit can be the same, or can be shifted by 180°. This ambiguity in argument of periastron is due to the fact that we usually do not know which of the two components on the visual orbit is the eclipsing binary (because we cannot separate the two stars photometrically). However, the combined solution is able to solve this and only one ω value is computed in the code. The other possibility is to measure the radial velocities on the long

[1] http://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/

[2] http://var.astro.cz/ocgate/

orbit.

If the system was found to be suitable for a combined approach, we used the code introduced in our previous work (Zasche & Wolf 2007). The starting values of the fit are the preliminary values of parameters as derived for separate solutions. After several iteration steps using the simplex algorithm, the final and acceptable solution was reached. This solution usually gives a fair and acceptable solution for both our data sets for a particular system. The whole computational procedure is stable and converge rather rapidly when both methods have good orbital coverage. If this is not the case and the fitting process provides spurious results, we can also proceed iteratively and fit only some parameters, not to let the programme compute all the parameters simultaneously in one step.

There still remains an open question - of how the amplitude A of the LITE and the semimajor axis a of the visual orbit are connected? Here comes the most important step in our approach, a way how to derive the distance to the system. If we know the distance to the triple (which is usually true, because the stars are bright and close and were mostly observed by the Hipparcos satellite), both a and A are directly connected. The individual masses of all three components are known, because we know the eclipsing binary masses from the light curve and radial velocity curve solution (from the already published papers) and the total mass of the whole system from the visual orbit. Hence, using our combined analysis yielding also both A and a values, the distance to the multiple system can be easily derived independently of other techniques. The detailed description of how the values are connected and the distance can be derived is given in Zasche & Wolf (2007). The same approach was used in Zasche & Wolf (2007) for the system VW Cep, and also for KR Com in Zasche & Uhlář (2010).

Our final fit for all systems was obtained minimizing the total chi-square value, which is being easily computed as a sum of both chi-square values of LITE together with the chi-square value of the visual orbit (see e.g. Zasche (2008) for discussion).

2.1. The weightening scheme

The problem with the individual uncertainties in both methods was solved in the following way. The individual errors of the minima times observations were used (when available) for computing, only in these cases where this information was missing we assumed an artificially high value of 0.01 day uncertainty. This was usually the case of old photoelectric data, where the error was not published in the original paper.

Because of unavailable error estimation for the older astrometric data, we have to use a different approach. For the positional measurements the individual weights were used instead of the uncertainties. These very different techniques provide us with an order of magnitude different precision when deriving the positions of the two components, despite the fact that the technique is called in general "interferometric" (e.g. visual interferometry versus long-baseline Palomar Testbed

Interferometer). Therefore, the weightening scheme was the following:

- 1 – Visual interferometer
- 5 – Interferometric technique (phase grating interferometer)
- 5 – Hipparcos satellite
- 10 – Speckle interferometric technique (CHARA speckle, USNO speckle)
- 10 – Adaptive optics
- 100 – Long-baseline visual/IR/radio interferometer (Palomar Testbed Interferometer)

As is stated below, the individual published errors of the times of minima are usually underestimated. Artificially increasing these values we tested how the final fit changes. Obviously, the larger the errors in one method (times of minima analysis) – the closer the final fit to the other method (visual orbit). This was tested, but the finding was that doubling the errors of the data points in the minima times data set led to only slight change of the final fit and its parameters (not more than 2-3 %).

3. Individual systems

3.1. V819 Her

V819 Her (= HD 157482 = HR 6469 = HIP 84949 = MCA 47) is an Algol-type eclipsing binary with its magnitude about 5.57 in V filter. The photometric analysis was performed by van Hamme et al. (1994), who analysed the color indices and derived the individual spectral types as F2V and F8 for the eclipsing pair, while G8 IV-III for the third component, similar result was also found by Scarfe et al. (1994) analysing the spectra of the system. The third component is also photometrically variable, probably due to the spots on its surface. The eclipsing pair is orbiting around a common center of mass with a third star in a 5.5-yr period visual orbit, having the eccentricity of about 0.67, and the LITE due to this movement is evident. The wider pair was discovered by speckle interferometry in 1980 (McAlister et al. 1983) and has been extensively observed by this technique and more recently with the Palomar Testbed Interferometer (Muterspaugh et al. 2008), and also using the CHARA Array (O'Brien et al. 2011). In addition, the eclipsing pair was resolved (Muterspaugh et al. 2006), yielding also the mutual inclination of both orbits as 33.5° (most recently by O'Brien et al. 2011).

This is the only system in our sample where the LITE was analyzed together with the interferometry and radial velocity data before (see Muterspaugh et al. 2006). The first analysis of the LITE together with the visual orbit was that by Wasson et al. (1994), who also observed many times of minima over a 10-year period. However, since then a lot of new measurements were obtained, so a new up-to-date analysis would be very profitable, especially when dealing with our new unpublished photometric observations.



Figure 1: $O-C$ diagram of V819 Her, plotted with all available times of minima observations. The dots stand for the primary, while the open circles for the secondary minima. The solid curve represents the final LITE fit as derived from the combined solution of the triple. The larger symbols stand for the observations with the higher precision.

The measurements of the eclipses of the inner pair were carried out during the epoch 2008–2014 by two of the authors (RU and PS) at their private observatories in the Czech Republic and northern Italy. These data were mostly obtained by small 35-mm cameras equipped with CCD detectors. Such small instruments were used because of the high brightness of the target. All of the observations were routinely reduced with dark frames and flat fields. The resulting times of minima were calculated using a standard Kwee-van Woerden method (Kwee & van Woerden 1956). The new times of minima are given in the Appendix tables together with the already published ones. The errors of the individual observations are given together with the type, filter and reference.

We used the same computational approach as introduced in Section 2. In total, 102 times of minima (of which 43 are our new unpublished data) were used for the analysis, together with 114 interferometric measurements of the visual double. The observations of minima times obtained in different filters during one night, were averaged into one point. All the data points used are given in the Appendix section Tables.

The analysis started with the values of parameters as presented in Muterspaugh et al. (2008) and then followed the procedure as described above. The resulting fits of the combined analysis are plotted in Figs. 1 and 2 and the parameters are given in Table 1. As one can see, the final fit of the 5.5-yr variation is clearly visible in Fig 1, in agreement with the visual orbit plotted in Fig. 2. The 5.5-yr period is now well-defined, and also the periastron passages are well-covered, hence we can also try to calculate the distance to the system.

In Fig. 1 there is slightly larger scatter of the data points than one would ex-



Figure 2: Visual orbit of V819 Her as projected on the sky. The individual observations are plotted as dots, connected with their theoretical positions on the orbit with short abscissae. The dashed line represents the line of the apsides, while the dotted one stands for the line of the nodes. The eclipsing binary is located in the coordinates (0,0).

Table 1: Final parameters of combined solution for V819 Her. The errors are given in the parenthesis, as resulted from the program.

	V819 Her	
Parameter	Present study	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
JD_0	2448546.5954 (7)	2452627.17 (0.29)
P [d]	2.2296330 (19)	2.2296330 (19)
p [day]	2015.8 (54.9)	2019.66 (0.35)
p [yr]	5.519 (0.150)	5.530 (0.001)
A [day]	0.0090 (8)	0.0088 (9) [a]
T	2452621.6 (81.2)	2452627.5 (1.3)
ω [deg]	223.8 (3.5)	222.50 (0.22)
e	0.687 (11)	0.6797 (7)
i [deg]	56.82 (1.63)	56.40 (0.13)
Ω [deg]	140.8 (5.9)	141.96 (0.12)
a [mas]	74.6 (3.7)	74.4 (0.9) [a]
$f(m_3)$ [$M_\odot$]	0.193 (22)	0.177 (30) [a]
M_3 [$M_\odot$]	1.86 (0.30)	1.799 (0.098)
a_{12} [AU]	2.16 (0.15)	2.11 (0.08) [a]
a_3 [AU]	2.97 (0.20)	2.99 (0.16) [a]
d [pc]	68.8 (1.8)	68.65 (0.87)

Remark: [a] - calculated from the original values

pect from the individual error bars plotted. It is probably caused by a photometric variability of the third component, which could also influence the shape of both primary and secondary minima. Hence, also the times of minima and precision of their derivation can be affected. The magnitude of $O-C$ scatter caused by a presence of spots was studied e.g. by Watson & Dhillon (2004), who found it to be well below our minima precision, of the order of seconds only. On the other hand, our recent study of two binaries with asymmetric light curves and application of standard Kwee-van Woerden method for minima times derivation led to rather different finding that this can be up to 15 minutes (Zasche 2011) for very asymmetric light curves. Nevertheless, as one can see from Fig. 1, the individual error bars are rather underestimated. This is usually caused by a fact that the errors of minima are usually only the formal errors as derived from the Kwee-van Woerden method itself, but real uncertainty should be order of magnitude larger. On the other hand, it is noteworthy that the scatter of our new observations is lower than the scatter of the older data from 1980's and 1990's, despite the fact that we were using an order of magnitude smaller telescopes, but equipped with CCD cameras instead of photoelectric photometers.

In Table 1 we compare our present results with the previous values of parameters as given in Muterspaugh et al. (2008). Some of the parameters were calculated from the published values, just to be compared with our results. As one can

see, the agreement is quite high for most of the parameters, but the errors given by Muterspaugh et al. (2008) seem to be rather optimistic. On the other hand, we present only our formal mathematic errors as resulted from the computational code, which can be slightly different from a more realistic physical errors. However, the superb precision of the results published by Muterspaugh et al. (2008) is due to the fact that they analysed the radial velocities and interferometry of a state of the art quality, covering several periods of the outer orbit. Hence, the Table 1 can serve as a demonstration of our method based on rather different approach and providing comparable results, with no need of radial velocities on the long orbit.

As mentioned above, we also tried to compute the distance to the system from our combined "period variation – visual orbit method". Also this value (about 68.8 pc) resulted in very good agreement with the previously derived values, with no need of long-term spectroscopic monitoring. This value confirms the previous findings by other authors (e.g. Muterspaugh et al. 2008, Scarfe et al. 1994, or O'Brien et al. 2011) that the Hipparcos value 74.0 ± 4.8 mas (van Leeuwen 2007) is a bit shifted.

3.2. V2388 Oph

V2388 Oph (= HD 163151 = HIP 87655 = FIN 381) is a W UMa-type eclipsing binary, a bit fainter than V819 Her, of about 6.3 mag in V filter. It was discovered as a variable star relatively late, in 1995 by Rodríguez et al. (1998). They also classified the star as a W UMa contact type (despite incorrectly classified as β Lyr on SIMBAD), with the individual temperatures 6450 and 6130 K for both components and an orbital period of the eclipsing pair of about 0.8 days. Both minima are more than 0.2 mag deep, hence it is an easy target to be observed. Later, Rucinski et al. (2002) observed the star spectroscopically and classified both components as F-types.

The system was discovered as a visual binary star by Finsen (1963), and since then many new observations of the pair were carried out. Baize (1988) published its slightly eccentric orbit with period about 8.3 yr. Later, Söderhjelm (1999) gives a better visual-orbit solution with period 8.9 yr. And the most recent study by Docobo & Andrade (2013) presented the best solution of the data covering more than 50 years with period 9.008 yr and eccentricity 0.327.

Since its discovery as a variable star, many observations of both primary and secondary minima of the eclipsing pair were obtained. The light curve analysis has been carried out a few times. The last one is that by Yakut et al. (2004), who observed the system in *BVR* filters and analysed the light curve. Moreover, they also tried to combine the visual orbit parameters as published by Söderhjelm (1999) and the apparent period variation of the eclipsing binary applying the LITE hypothesis. However, their analysis is incorrect, leading to the large disagreement with the implied masses from the distance and visual orbit. The problematic point of their analysis was the fact that they have only poor coverage of the third-body



Figure 3: Two observations of minima of V2388 Oph, clearly showing the total eclipses.

period (only 9 times-of-minima data points) and they only fit the amplitude of the LITE, fixing all other parameters. Moreover, their light curve analysis is dubious because of the fact that their fit did not show any total eclipse (in contradiction with the analysis by Rodríguez et al. 1998), but our observations clearly show that there is a total eclipse lasting more than one hour (see Fig. 3). Hence, their inclination angle for the eclipsing pair should be higher, closer to the 90°, yielding different masses of the components.

At this point, our present analysis seems to be much more effective. We evaluated a much larger data set of minima observations (almost three times longer time base, more than 70 new observations carried out and reduced by the authors), and our fitting procedure incorporates all relevant parameters for the combined fit of the visual orbit together with the period variation of the eclipsing pair. Our analysis is based on 93 minima times observations (see the Appendix tables), mostly our new ones, which were analysed following the procedure as described in Section 2. The starting values for the parameters are the ones presented by Docobo & Andrade (2013). The final solution of our combined fit is presented in Figs. 4 and 5. The values of all parameters are given in Table 2. The scatter of the minima times is quite large, but this is probably the physical scatter of the observations and maximum what can be obtained from the small ground-based telescopes, because the individual observations were obtained using different instruments, reduction, weather conditions, etc. To repeat once again, the errors of most of the already published observations are usually underestimated and only formal ones as derived from the Kwee-van Woerden method. There is also a possibility of a kind of chromospheric activity (Yakut et al. 2004), which is quite common in this kind of late-type contact binaries. Moreover, the spot on the surface (Rodríguez et al. 1998) makes the whole curve asymmetric, which also brings some difficulties when deriving the



Figure 4: $O-C$ diagram of V2388 Oph. See Fig. 1 for description of the symbols.



Figure 5: Visual orbit of V2388 Oph.

times of minima, see the discussion on the $O-C$ precision in the previous section.

Despite large scatter of the minima, we also tried to compute the distance to the system from the values a and A. However, the total mass of the eclipsing pair is necessary for the calculation. This value was derived from the mass function as derived from the radial velocities by Rucinski et al. (2002) and using the inclination presented by Rodríguez et al. (1998). From this value of $M_{12} = 1.96 \pm 0.03$ $M_\odot$

Table 2: Final parameters of combined solution for V2388 Oph.

	V2388 Oph	
Parameter	Present study	Docobo & Andrade (2013)
JD_0	2452500.3842 (4)	–
P [d]	0.80229787 (69)	–
p [day]	3277.9 (22.5)	3290.1 (5.5)
p [yr]	8.975 (60)	9.008 (15)
A [day]	0.00102 (6)	–
T	2454197.2 (27.0)	2454210.0 (18.3)
ω [deg]	208.4 (3.3)	238.3 (10.0)
e	0.329 (4)	0.327 (2)
i [deg]	171.6 (5.2)	160.9 (5.0)
Ω [deg]	323.2 (2.8)	353.6 (10.0)
a [mas]	83.0 (3.0)	85.3 (2.0)
$f(m_3)$ [$M_\odot$]	7.8 (1.4) $\cdot 10^{-5}$	–
M_3 [$M_\odot$]	0.54 (0.06)	–
a_{12} [AU]	1.26 (0.09)	–
a_3 [AU]	4.60 (1.02)	–
d [pc]	70.6 (8.9)	–

we derived the mass of the third body $M_3 = 0.54 \pm 0.06$ $M_\odot$ (see Table 2) and also the distance to the system V2388 Oph.

At this point it is advisable to comment also the masses of the visual binary presented by Docobo & Andrade (2013). They gave the values 1.7 $M_\odot$ for the primary, while 1.3 $M_\odot$ for the secondary of the visual double, and also a note about the magnitude difference of about 0.2 mag only. But this is also rather doubtful value, because stars on the main sequence with such masses should have much larger magnitude difference (see e.g. Harmanec 1988). Also the "Delta-m catalogue" [3] lists the magnitude difference about $\Delta m = 1.5$ mag, which implies much different components of the visual pair. Our resulting values provide a better fit to the Δm value. The amplitude of the eclipsing pair variation is not so large to shift the Δm value so low. Therefore, we can speculate about the origin of such a large magnitude change during the last 40 years suspecting that the photometric variation comes from the third component. Such an explanation can explain why in 1960's the magnitude difference was about 0.3 mag (van den Bos 1963), while about 40 years later this difference was about 1 magnitude larger (Horch et al. 2010).

From our analysis the distance to the system resulted in about 70.6 ± 8.9 pc. The original Hipparcos value was 67.9 ± 4.0 pc (Perryman et al. 1997), later recomputed to 83.3 ± 6.1 pc (van Leeuwen 2007). On the other hand, Yakut et al. (2004) presented the distance 68 ± 4 pc, and Docobo & Andrade (2013) gave the

[3] http://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/dmtext.html

two values 74.3 ± 2.2 pc, and 72.3 ± 2.1 pc, respectively. The scatter of these values is still rather large, but our result has also high uncertainty. This is due to the poor coverage of the LITE fit and its still not very well-defined amplitude.

3.3. V1031 Ori

The system V1031 Ori (= HD 38735 = HR 2001 = HIP 27341 = MCA 22) is an Algol-type eclipsing binary, discovered as a variable by Strohmeier & Knigge (1961). Later, Olsen (1977) classified the star as an eclipsing binary and gave the correct orbital period of about 3.4 day. The most detailed analysis is that by Andersen et al. (1990), who observed the whole light curve in *uvby* filters, and also obtained 26 spectrograms of this multiple system. The analysis revealed that it is a detached binary, with rather deep minima of about 0.4 mag in V filter, both components are of A spectral type, and the distance to the system is about 215 ± 25 pc. The more recent parallax from the Hipparcos gave the distance 205 ± 36 pc (van Leeuwen 2007). Most recently, the original data by Andersen et al. (1990) were recalculated by Torres et al. (2010).

Moreover, V1031 Ori was also discovered as a visual binary (McAlister et al. 1983), and more than twenty observations were carried out since its discovery. The magnitude difference between the two visual components is of about 1.5 mag. Recent interferometric observations obtained during the last decade revealed a rapid movement on the visual orbit, indicating rather short orbital period. The first rough estimation of the visual orbit is a short discussion about the speckle data by Andersen et al. (1990), who proposed a period more than 3 thousand years. A recent attempt at the orbital solution from the available interferometric data was that by Zasche et al. (2009), who proposed a visual orbit period of about 93 yr and a circular orbit, but with very poor data coverage. Since then a few new observations were carried out, which can help us to better constrain the orbital properties of the double.

A similar approach as introduced above was applied to this system; however, the task was a bit simplified, because the distance was not computed. The distance can only be derived when both methods (visual orbit as well as the LITE fit) have well-defined amplitudes (i.e. the amplitude of LITE as well as the semimajor axis of the visual orbit), but this is not true in this case. The periastron passage is only covered very poorly in both methods (see below), and our fit is still only preliminary.

Our resulting fit is presented in Figs. 6 and 7. The parameters are given in Table 3. As one can see, the visual orbit is very different from the one proposed in Zasche et al. (2009). This is due to the fact that in the previous work only a small arc of the orbit was covered with observations, and these observations were obtained away from the periastron. New observations were secured closer to the periastron passage, hence a new orbit with better defined parameters was derived. We observed several new minima during the last five years and also collected some

Table 3: Final parameters of combined solution for V1031 Ori.

	V1031 Ori	
Parameter	Present study	Zasche et al. (2009)
JD_0	2452500.3044 (3)	–
P [d]	3.4055587 (26)	–
p [day]	11432 (1252)	33843 (2414)
p [yr]	31.3 (3.4)	92.66 (6.61)
A [day]	0.0144 (69)	–
T	2453025 (948)	2430580 (2849)
ω [deg]	160.8 (27.0)	180.3 (28.5)
e	0.921 (19)	0.001 (0.001)
i [deg]	46.9 (13.0)	76.3 (8.2)
Ω [deg]	305.2 (11.3)	291.9 (9.4)
a [mas]	94.4 (6.4)	176 (7)
$f(m_3)$ [$M_\odot$]	0.13 (0.03)	–
M_3 [$M_\odot$]	2.65 (0.69)	–
a_{12} [AU]	6.93 (1.67)	–
a_3 [AU]	12.4 (3.0)	–



Figure 6: $O-C$ diagram of V1031 Ori. See Fig. 1 for description of the symbols.



Figure 7: Visual orbit of V1031 Ori.



Figure 8: Radial velocities of V1031 Ori as measured by Andersen et al. (1990). The third component's velocities are plotted as dots, the radial velocity of barycenter of the eclipsing pair as open circle. The small rectangle is zoomed for a better clarity.

already published observations (see the Appendix tables), which show only mild additional variation. This is due to the fact that these were obtained away from the periastron passage, where the apparent period change of the eclipsing binary is only very slow, see Fig. 6. On the other hand, the orbit as derived from the recent interferometric observations is defined quite well, because the binary just completed one revolution since its discovery. Quite interesting is a value of high eccentricity of the long orbit. Our final result about the mass of the third component of about 2.65 $M_{\odot}$ is in good agreement with the previous finding by Andersen et al. (1990), who proposed a mass 2.2 $M_{\odot}$.

We also presented Fig. 8, where the predicted radial velocity variation is plotted together with the observations of the third-body lines and the systemic velocity (i.e. radial velocity of the barycenter) of the eclipsing pair as published by Andersen et al. (1990). As one can see, our fit computed from the parameters listed in Table 3 is able to describe the observed velocities quite well, however its conclusiveness is still poor due to only small time interval of the observations. Hence, some new observations close to the upcoming periastron passage in 2035 would be very useful.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

We performed the combined analyses of the visual orbit and the apparent period changes of three eclipsing binaries V819 Her, V2388 Oph, and V1031 Ori. These systems belong to a still rather limited group of stars, where the eclipsing binary is a part of multiple stellar system, and the long orbit was observed via interferometry. The long-term collecting of the spectroscopic data for several years or decades is a bit complicated nowadays (due to time allocation policy on larger telescopes). Hence, this method which does not need any radial velocities of the long orbit could be a way how to work around this problem.

On the other hand, there are still only a few systems where this approach has been applied. We can divide the group of eclipsing subsystems in the visual doubles (where the visual orbit was derived) into three groups (see e.g. Zasche et al. 2009):

- Systems, where both the visual orbit and the LITE was computed simultaneously: QS Aql, i Boo, VW Cep, KR Com, V772 Her, V819 Her, V2388 Oph, V1031 Ori, ζ Phe, V505 Sgr, DN UMa, and HT Vir.
- Those for which the visual orbit is known (as well as the solution of the light and radial velocity curves), but the LITE was not detected yet: ET Boo, V831 Cen, V2083 Cyg, MR Del, LO Hya, DI Lyn, GT Mus, δ Ori, η Ori, β Per, V592 Per, V1647 Sgr, V906 Sco, BB Scl, ξ Tau, and δ Vel.
- And finally stars, for which the orbit is known, but no light curve analysis (nor the LITE analysis) was performed: V559 Cas, V773 Cas, V871 Cen, V949 Cen, BR Ind, V635 Mon, CN Hyi, V410 Pup, XY Pyx, and λ Sco.

A future detailed analysis of all these systems would be of interest, especially for shifting the systems from the lower two groups into the first one. The combined analysis as presented in this paper would be very useful in this way. When having the complete set of orbital parameters for both orbits in a particular system, one can obtain the ratio of the periods, mutual inclination of the orbits, their eccentricities, mass ratios, etc. All of these parameters can help to better understand the formation processes in the multiple stellar systems (see e.g. Halbwachs et al. 2003, or Tokovinin 2008).

Acknowledgements. This research has made use of the Washington Double Star Catalog maintained at the U.S. Naval Observatory. We would like to thank also Mr. Hans Zirm for a fruitful discussion about the system V1031 Ori. An anonymous referee is also acknowledged for his/her helpful and critical suggestions, which greatly improved the paper. This investigation was supported by the Research Programme MSM0021620860 of the Czech Ministry of Education, by the Czech Science Foundation grant no. P209/10/0715, and by the grant UNCE 12 of the Charles University in Prague. We also do thank the ASAS and "Pi of the sky" teams for making all of the observations easily public available. This research has made use of the SIMBAD and VIZIER databases, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France and of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

REFERENCES

Andersen, J., Nordstrom, B., & Clausen, J. V. 1990, *A&A*, **228**, 365.
Baize, P. 1988, *A&As*, **74**, 507.
Balega, I. I., Balega, Y. Y., & Vasyuk, V. A. 1989, *Astrofizicheskie Issledovaniia Izvestiya Spetsial'noj Astrofizicheskoj Observatorii*, **28**, 107.
Balega, I. I., Balega, Y. Y., Maksimov, A. F., et al. 1999, *A&As*, **140**, 287.
Boyd, L. J., Genet, R. M., Hall, D. S., et al. 1985, *IBVS*, **2675**, 1.
Brát, L., Zejda, M., & Svoboda, P. 2007, *OEJV*, **74**, 1.
Brát, L., Trnka, J., Šmelcer, L., et al. 2011, *OEJV*, **137**, 1.
Brelstaff, T. 1990, *Variable Star Section Circular*, **73**, 1.
Burd, A., et al. 2005, *NewA*, **10**, 409.
Docobo, J. A., & Andrade, M. 2013, *MNRAS*, **428**, 321.
Finsen, W.S. 1963, *Republic Obs. Circ.*, **122**, 32.
Finsen, W.S. 1964, *Republic Observatory Johannesburg Circular*, **123**, 53.
Fu, H.-H., Hartkopf, W. I., Mason, B. D., et al. 1997, *AJ*, **114**, 1623.
Goodwin, S. P., & Kroupa, P. 2005, *A&A*, **439**, 565.
Guinan, E. F., & Engle, S. G. 2006, *Ap&SS*, **304**, 5.
Halbwachs, J. L., Mayor, M., Udry, S., & Arenou, F. 2003, *A&A*, **397**, 159.
Harmanec, P. 1988, *BAICz*, **39**, 329.
Hartkopf, W. I., McAlister, H. A., & Franz, O. G. 1992, *AJ*, **104**, 810.
Hartkopf, W. I., Mason, B. D., Barry, D. J., et al. 1993, *AJ*, **106**, 352.
Hartkopf, W. I., McAlister, H. A., Mason, B. D., et al. 1994, *AJ*, **108**, 2299.
Hartkopf, W. I., Mason, B. D., & McAlister, H. A. 1996, *AJ*, **111**, 370.
Hartkopf, W. I., Mason, B. D., McAlister, H. A., et al. 1996, *AJ*, **111**, 936.
Hartkopf, W. I., McAlister, H. A., Mason, B. D., et al. 1997, *AJ*, **114**, 1639.

Hartkopf, W. I., Mason, B. D., McAlister, H. A., et al. 2000, *AJ*, **119**, 3084.
Hartkopf, W. I., Tokovinin, A., & Mason, B. D. 2012, *AJ*, **143**, 42.
Horch, E. P., Robinson, S. E., Meyer, R. D., et al. 2002, *AJ*, **123**, 3442.
Horch, E. P., Franz, O. G., & van Altena, W. F. 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 2478.
Horch, E. P., van Altena, W. F., Cyr, W. M., Jr., et al. 2008, *AJ*, **136**, 312.
Horch, E. P., Falta, D., Anderson, L. M., et al. 2010, *AJ*, **139**, 205.
Horch, E. P., Gomez, S. C., Sherry, W. H., et al. 2011, *AJ*, **141**, 45.
Horch, E. P., van Altena, W. F., Howell, S. B., Sherry, W. H., & Ciardi, D. R. 2011, *AJ*, **141**, 180.
Horch, E. P., Bahi, L. A. P., Gaulin, J. R., et al. 2012, *AJ*, **143**, 10.
Hubscher, J., Steinbach, H.-M., & Walter, F. 2009, *IBVS*, **5874**, 1.
Irwin, J. B. 1959, *AJ*, **64**, 149.
Kallrath, J., Linnell, A.P. 1987, *ApJ*, **313**, 346.
Kwee, K.K., van Woerden, H. 1956, *BAN*, **12**, 327.
Liakos, A., & Niarchos, P. 2011, *IBVS*, **6005**, 1.
Mason, B. D., Wycoff, G. L., Hartkopf, W. I., Douglass, G. G., & Worley, C. E. 2001, *AJ*, **122**, 3466.
Mayer, P. 1990, *BAICz*, **41**, 231.
McAlister, H. A., & Hendry, E. M. 1982, *ApJs*, **49**, 267.
McAlister, H. A., Hendry, E. M., Hartkopf, W. I., Campbell, B. G., & Fekel, F. C. 1983, *ApJs*, **51**, 309.
McAlister, H. A., Hartkopf, W. I., Gaston, B. J., Hendry, E. M., & Fekel, F. C. 1984, *ApJs*, **54**, 251.
McAlister, H. A., Hartkopf, W. I., Hutter, D. J., & Franz, O. G. 1987, *AJ*, **93**, 688.
McAlister, H. A., Hartkopf, W. I., Hutter, D. J., Shara, M. M., & Franz, O. G. 1987, *AJ*, **93**, 183.
McAlister, H. A., Hartkopf, W. I., Sowell, J. R., Dombrowski, E. G., & Franz, O. G. 1989, *AJ*, **97**, 510.
McAlister, H., Hartkopf, W. I., & Franz, O. G. 1990, *AJ*, **99**, 965.
McAlister, H. A., Mason, B. D., Hartkopf, W. I., & Shara, M. M. 1993, *AJ*, **106**, 1639.
Muterspaugh, M. W., Lane, B. F., Konacki, M., Burke, B.F., Colavita, M.M., Kulkarni, S.R., Shao, M. 2006, *A&A*, **446**, 723.
Muterspaugh, M.W., Lane, B.F., Fekel, F.C., Konacki, M., Burke, B.F., Kulkarni, S.R., Colavita, M.M., Shao, M., Wiktorowicz, S.J. 2008, *AJ*, **135**, 766.
Muterspaugh, M. W., Lane, B. F., Kulkarni, S. R., et al. 2010, *AJ*, **140**, 1579.
O'Brien, D. P., McAlister, H. A., Raghavan, D., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **728**, 111.
Olsen, E. H. 1977, *A&As*, **29**, 313.
Paschke, A., & Brát, L. 2006, *OEJV*, **23**, 13.
Perryman, M. A. C., Lindegren, L., Kovalevsky, J., et al. 1997, *A&A*, **323**, L49.
Pojmanski, G. 2002, *AcA*, **52**, 397.
Raghavan, D., McAlister, H. A., Henry, T. J., et al. 2010, *ApJs*, **190**, 1.
Rodríguez, E., Claret, A., García, J. M., et al. 1998, *A&A*, **336**, 920.
Rucinski, S. M., Lu, W., Capobianco, C. C., et al. 2002, *AJ*, **124**, 1738.
Rucinski, S. M., Pribulla, T., & van Kerkwijk, M. H. 2007, *AJ*, **134**, 2353.
Scarfe, C. D., Barlow, D. J., Fekel, F. C., et al. 1994, *AJ*, **107**, 1529.
Söderhjelm, S. 1999, *A&A*, **341**, 121.
Strohmeier, W., & Knigge, R. 1961, *Bamberg Veroeffentlichungen der Remeis-Sternwarte*, **5**, 10.
Tikkanen, K. 2000, *BBSAG*, **122**, 1.
Tokovinin, A. A. 1985, *A&As*, **61**, 483.
Tokovinin, A. A., & Ismailov, R. M., *1988*, **A&As**, 72.563
Tokovinin, A. 2007, *Massive Stars in Interactive Binaries*, **367**, 615.
Tokovinin, A. 2008, *MNRAS*, **389**, 925.
Tokovinin, A., Mason, B. D., & Hartkopf, W. I. 2010, *AJ*, **139**, 743.
Tokovinin, A. 2014, *in preparation*, , .
Torres, G., Andersen, J., & Giménez, A. 2010, *A&ARv*, **18**, 67.
van den Bos, W. H. 1963, *AJ*, **68**, 57.

van Hamme, W. V., Hall, D. S., Hargrove, A. W., et al. 1994, *AJ*, **107**, 1521.
van Leeuwen, F. 2007, *A&A*, **474**, 653.
Wasson, R., Hall, D. S., Hargrove, A. W., et al. 1994, *AJ*, **107**, 1514.
Watson, C. L. 2006, *Society for Astronomical Sciences Annual Symposium*, **25**, 47.
Watson, C. A., & Dhillon, V. S. 2004, *MNRAS*, **351**, 110.
Yakut, K., Kalomeni, B., İbanoğlu, C. 2004, *A&A*, **417**, 725.
Zakirov, M. M. 2010, *Kinematics and Physics of Celestial Bodies*, **26**, 269.
Zasche, P. & Wolf, M. 2007, *AN*, **328**, 928.
Zasche, P. 2008, *arXiv:0801.4258*, , .
Zasche, P., Wolf, M., Hartkopf, W. I., et al. 2009, *AJ*, **138**, 664.
Zasche, P., & Uhlář, R. 2010, *A&A*, **519**, A78.
Zasche, P. 2011, *IBVS*, **5991**, 1.
Zasche, P., Uhlař, R., Kučáková, H., & Svoboda, P. 2011, *IBVS*, **6007**, 1.

Table 4: List of the minima timings used for the analysis

Star designation	HJD - 2400000	Error [day]	Type	Filter	Source Observatory
V819 Her	45169.8078	0.0050	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45169.8183	0.0040	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45471.9262	0.0018	Prim	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45471.9280	0.0014	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45471.9282	0.0040	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45519.8735	0.0033	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45519.8737	0.0041	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45519.8742	0.0050	Sec	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45839.8097	0.0010	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45839.8121	0.0009	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45839.8129	0.0010	Prim	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45839.813	0.001	Prim	V	Boyd et al. (1985)
V819 Her	45840.9327	0.0036	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45840.9333	0.0079	Sec	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45840.9342	0.0023	Sec	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45840.9342	0.0027	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45840.9400	0.0096	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45840.9425	0.0092	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	45868.7988	0.0014	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	46284.6273	0.0029	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	46945.7173	0.0022	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	46945.7330	0.0032	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	46974.7086	0.0018	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47023.7565	0.0021	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47277.9326	0.0010	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47323.6377	0.0008	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47324.7519	0.0009	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47325.8660	0.0010	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47325.8688	0.0016	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47344.8174	0.0006	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47345.9340	0.0030	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47351.5078	0.0013	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47392.7567	0.0016	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47393.8718	0.0012	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47652.4999	0.0018	Prim	I	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47652.5057	0.0029	Prim	R	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47674.7993	0.0014	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47701.5596	0.0018	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47761.7542	0.0011	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47761.7572	0.0011	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47768.4517	0.0018	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47790.7331	0.0027	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47790.7386	0.0017	Prim	R	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47790.7390	0.0020	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47828.6372	0.0019	Prim	R	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47828.6438	0.0021	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47828.6468	0.0032	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47838.6805	0.0032	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47838.6830	0.0035	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	47982.4885	0.0032	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48007.0046	0.0060	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48007.0081	0.0032	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48010.3627	0.0042	Sec	I	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48015.9330	0.0007	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48015.9334	0.0010	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48044.9183	0.0028	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48044.9193	0.0031	Prim	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48044.9216	0.0043	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48091.7439	0.0013	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48101.7673	0.0012	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48101.7689	0.0012	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48127.4109	0.0018	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48403.8917	0.0013	Prim	I	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48403.8934	0.0006	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48403.8944	0.0022	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48403.8945	0.0008	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48403.8953	0.0024	Prim	R	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48422.8444	0.0025	Sec	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48422.8465	0.0011	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48422.8466	0.0010	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48479.7094	0.0060	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48479.7200	0.0055	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)

Columns: [1] - The standard star designation, [2] - Heliocentric Julian date of time of mid-eclipse, [3] - Standard error of the time of minimum, [4] - Primary/Secondary minimum type, [5] - Photometric filter used, [6] - Reference of the particular observation.

Table 5: List of the minima timings used for the analysis

Star designation	HJD - 2400000	Error [day]	Type	Filter	Source Observatory
V819 Her	48486.3889	0.0040	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48502.0100	0.001	Prim	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997)
V819 Her	48505.3475	0.0035	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48780.710	0.002	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48780.711	0.001	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48780.7136	0.0003	Prim	C	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48791.8611	0.0013	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48791.8615	0.0008	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48791.8616	0.0011	Prim	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48792.9814	0.0031	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48792.9827	0.0026	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48792.9839	0.0026	Sec	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48800.7802	0.0012	Prim	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48800.7805	0.0007	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48800.7805	0.0013	Prim	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48800.7806	0.0009	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48801.8960	0.0057	Sec	U	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48801.9015	0.0068	Sec	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48801.9065	0.0060	Sec	V	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	48820.8518	0.0038	Prim	B	Wasson et al. (1994)
V819 Her	54564.3794	0.0040	Prim	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V819 Her	54585.55589	0.0020	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V819 Her	54594.4766	0.0010	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V819 Her	54623.4631	0.0010	Sec	BVR	Zasche et al. (2009)
V819 Her	54642.41328	0.0030	Prim	BVR	Zasche et al. (2009)
V819 Her	54738.29167	0.0021	Prim	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V819 Her	54972.40137	0.0020	Prim	BVRI	This paper
V819 Her	54992.46779	0.0018	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	55021.45606	0.0005	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	55040.40337	0.0004	Sec	R	This paper
V819 Her	55041.52278	0.0031	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	55050.43923	0.0001	Prim	R	This paper
V819 Her	55069.39314	0.00131	Sec	R	This paper
V819 Her	55303.49295	0.00050	Sec	I	This paper
V819 Her	55313.52848	0.00022	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	55390.44582	0.00075	Sec	R	This paper
V819 Her	55409.40029	0.00092	Prim	R	This paper
V819 Her	55429.46197	0.00023	Prim	R	This paper
V819 Her	55634.59163	0.00287	Prim	C	This paper
V819 Her	55643.50501	0.00350	Prim	C	This paper
V819 Her	55662.45829	0.00103	Sec	C	This paper
V819 Her	55692.56222	0.00018	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	55739.38103	0.00080	Prim	V	This paper
V819 Her	55749.41537	0.00243	Sec	I	This paper
V819 Her	55797.35145	0.00382	Prim	V	This paper
V819 Her	55798.46740	0.00076	Sec	C	This paper
V819 Her	55807.38577	0.00389	Sec	I	This paper
V819 Her	55984.64167	0.00268	Prim	C	This paper
V819 Her	56031.45470	0.00127	Prim	R	This paper
V819 Her	56040.38404	0.00097	Prim	R	This paper
V819 Her	56041.49687	0.00173	Sec	I	This paper
V819 Her	56051.52848	0.00058	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	56108.38710	0.00151	Sec	I	This paper
V819 Her	56147.41066	0.00071	Prim	C	This paper
V819 Her	56185.30856	0.00049	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	56390.43302	0.00058	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	56439.49247	0.00114	Prim	C	This paper
V819 Her	56458.45020	0.00162	Sec	C	This paper
V819 Her	56468.47810	0.00063	Prim	C	This paper
V819 Her	56478.51376	0.00122	Sec	C	This paper
V819 Her	56516.41717	0.00199	Sec	C	This paper
V819 Her	56535.36968	0.00218	Prim	C	This paper
V819 Her	56400.46826	0.01530	Sec	C	This paper
V819 Her	56554.31888	0.00213	Sec	C	This paper
V819 Her	56573.27563	0.00127	Prim	I	This paper
V819 Her	56712.63213	0.00132	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	47913.64287	0.002	Prim	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V2388 Oph	47914.448	0.004	Prim	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V2388 Oph	47914.0447	0.005	Sec	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V2388 Oph	47917.653	0.002	Prim	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V2388 Oph	49878.4682		Prim	uvby	Rodríguez et al. (1998)
V2388 Oph	49886.4919		Prim	uvby	Rodríguez et al. (1998)

Table 6: List of the minima timings used for the analysis

Star designation	HJD - 2400000	Error [day]	Type	Filter	Source Observatory
V2388 Oph	49890.5045		Prim	uvby	Rodríguez et al. (1998)
V2388 Oph	51569.7076	0.0006	Prim	BVR	Yakut et al. (2004)
V2388 Oph	51700.4852	0.0009	Prim	BVR	Yakut et al. (2004)
V2388 Oph	51749.430	0.001	Prim	BVR	Yakut et al. (2004)
V2388 Oph	51780.320	0.002	Sec	BVR	Yakut et al. (2004)
V2388 Oph	52816.88927	0.00373	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	52817.29488	0.00315	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	52869.436	0.001	Prim	BVR	Yakut et al. (2004)
V2388 Oph	53183.94238	0.00443	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	53184.34792	0.00282	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	53549.78937	0.00191	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	53550.18903	0.00270	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	53863.48614	0.00081	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	53863.88688	0.00307	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	53886.75584	0.00329	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	53900.39606	0.00080	Prim	R	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	53936.49564	0.00021	Prim	R	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	53965.38115	0.00032	Prim	R	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	53971.39769	0.00014	Sec	R	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	54282.28658	0.00241	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	54282.68476	0.00570	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	54318.38858	0.00011	Prim	R	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	54318.38912	0.00020	Prim	B	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	54318.39118	0.00022	Prim	I	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	54357.30041	0.00025	Sec	R	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	54365.32683	0.00007	Sec	R	Brát et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	54534.60750	0.0030	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V2388 Oph	54583.54705	0.0003	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V2388 Oph	54593.57378	0.0002	Prim	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V2388 Oph	54614.43722	0.0006	Prim	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V2388 Oph	54620.45242	0.0005	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V2388 Oph	54649.73485	0.00457	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	54650.14156	0.00309	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	54675.41166	0.0004	Prim	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V2388 Oph	54718.33514	0.0004	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V2388 Oph	54975.47239	0.0006	Prim	R	Brát et al. (2011)
V2388 Oph	54975.47289	0.0005	Prim	V	Brát et al. (2011)
V2388 Oph	54975.47299	0.0005	Prim	I	Brát et al. (2011)
V2388 Oph	54977.47708	0.0020	Sec	BVRI	This paper
V2388 Oph	54993.52488	0.0015	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	54995.52730	0.0004	Prim	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55017.19232	0.00360	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	55017.58973	0.00213	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V2388 Oph	55028.42447	0.0005	Prim	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55038.45384	0.0005	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55040.45425	0.0004	Prim	R	Brát et al. (2011)
V2388 Oph	55040.45455	0.0007	Prim	V	Brát et al. (2011)
V2388 Oph	55040.45475	0.0009	Prim	I	Brát et al. (2011)
V2388 Oph	55042.46101	0.0005	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55081.37580	0.00088	Prim	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55375.41654	0.00210	Sec	BI	This paper
V2388 Oph	55377.42189	0.00030	Prim	BI	This paper
V2388 Oph	55397.48074	0.00052	Prim	BI	This paper
V2388 Oph	55399.48530	0.00032	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55430.37640	0.00035	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55477.30570	0.00257	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55481.32515	0.00011	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55483.32889	0.00145	Prim	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55640.57618	0.00044	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55650.60616	0.00035	Sec	C	This paper
V2388 Oph	55685.50570	0.00029	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55697.54011	0.00041	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55718.39825	0.00077	Prim	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55742.46985	0.00052	Prim	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55746.4824	0.0005	Prim	BVRI	Liakos & Niarchos (2011)
V2388 Oph	55748.4894	0.0005	Sec	BVRI	Liakos & Niarchos (2011)
V2388 Oph	55748.48800	0.00042	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	55779.37562	0.00114	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55787.39855	0.00031	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55791.40990	0.00043	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55816.28696	0.00095	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55828.31775	0.00075	Prim	R	This paper

Table 7: List of the minima timings used for the analysis

Star designation	HJD - 2400000	Error [day]	Type	Filter	Source Observatory
V2388 Oph	55830.32345	0.00045	Sec	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	55993.58942	0.00031	Prim	V	This paper
V2388 Oph	56040.52504	0.00080	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56073.41700	0.00053	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56095.48013	0.00024	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	56097.48636	0.00058	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56132.38622	0.00087	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	56136.39915	0.00049	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	56179.32291	0.00066	Sec	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	56393.54167	0.00206	Sec	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	56428.43312	0.00204	Prim	R	This paper
V2388 Oph	56450.49647	0.00099	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56452.50151	0.00085	Prim	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56485.39453	0.00022	Prim	C	This paper
V2388 Oph	56491.41444	0.00116	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56499.43778	0.00050	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56509.46863	0.00130	Prim	C	This paper
V2388 Oph	56540.35487	0.00063	Sec	I	This paper
V2388 Oph	56542.35976	0.00077	Prim	I	This paper
V1031 Ori	42688.8732		Prim	uvby	Olsen (1977)
V1031 Ori	44643.6657	0.0005	Prim	uvby	Andersen et al. (1990)
V1031 Ori	44655.5866	0.0010	Sec	uvby	Andersen et al. (1990)
V1031 Ori	47567.3280		Sec	V	Brelstaff et al. (1990)
V1031 Ori	47783.5530		Prim	V	Brelstaff et al. (1990)
V1031 Ori	48056.04031	0.00249	Prim	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V1031 Ori	48057.75043	0.00325	Sec	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V1031 Ori	48778.0213	0.01	Prim	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V1031 Ori	48779.72680	0.00381	Sec	Hp	Perryman et al. (1997) - This paper
V1031 Ori	51572.315	0.021	Sec		Tikkanen (2000)
V1031 Ori	51577.402	0.012	Prim		Tikkanen (2000)
V1031 Ori	53002.63379	0.00204	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V1031 Ori	53574.74690	0.005	Sec	V	ASAS - This paper
V1031 Ori	54073.6562	0.0085	Prim	C	Burd et al. (2005) - This paper
V1031 Ori	54516.3894	0.0004	Prim	I	Hubscher et al. (2009)
V1031 Ori	54530.02329	0.0024	Prim	R	This paper
V1031 Ori	54831.39638	0.0003	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V1031 Ori	54860.33767	0.0004	Prim	R	Zasche et al. (2009)
V1031 Ori	54928.45350	0.00449	Prim	V	ASAS - This paper
V1031 Ori	55255.35357	0.00379	Prim	BVR	Zasche et al. (2011)
V1031 Ori	55599.34852	0.00093	Prim	R	Zasche et al. (2011)
V1031 Ori	55616.39163	0.00256	Prim	C	Zasche et al. (2011)
V1031 Ori	55645.32473	0.00202	Sec	R	Zasche et al. (2011)
V1031 Ori	55878.60666	0.00064	Prim	VC	Zasche et al. (2011)
V1031 Ori	55902.43956	0.00080	Prim	C	This paper
V1031 Ori	55977.36439	0.00017	Prim	I	This paper
V1031 Ori	56239.59388	0.00033	Prim	C	This paper
V1031 Ori	56588.66116	0.00225	Sec	R	This paper
V1031 Ori	56629.53413	0.00040	Sec	C	This paper
V1031 Ori	56658.47768	0.00045	Prim	C	This paper

Table 8: Positional measurements used for the analysis.

System	Year	ρ [arcs]	ϕ [deg]	Reference
V819 Her	1980.4766	0.036	278.1	McAlister et al. (1983)
V819 Her	1980.4820	0.047	265.7	McAlister et al. (1983)
V819 Her	1981.4568	0.065	134.1	McAlister et al. (1984)
V819 Her	1981.4678	0.061	134.2	McAlister et al. (1984)
V819 Her	1981.4706	0.063	135.2	McAlister et al. (1984)
V819 Her	1981.4732	0.062	135.6	McAlister et al. (1984)
V819 Her	1982.2924	0.107	154.7	Fu et al. (1997)
V819 Her	1982.5027	0.109	155.2	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1983.0702	0.112	163.0	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1983.4202	0.105	165.8	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1983.7151	0.107	171.3	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1984.3732	0.100	180.2	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1984.3760	0.088	181.4	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1984.3840	0.087	181.5	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1984.7009	0.076	188.3	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1985.4816	0.051	224.4	McAlister et al. (1987)
V819 Her	1985.5228	0.047	228.3	McAlister et al. (1987b)
V819 Her	1986.4079	0.034	354.9	Balega et al. (1989)
V819 Her	1986.6454	0.038	109.0	Balega et al. (1989)
V819 Her	1987.2673	0.080	140.9	McAlister et al. (1989)
V819 Her	1988.2529	0.107	157.5	McAlister et al. (1989)
V819 Her	1988.6599	0.108	162.4	McAlister et al. (1990)
V819 Her	1989.2305	0.101	170.1	McAlister et al. (1990)
V819 Her	1989.7058	0.091	177.7	Hartkopf et al. (1992)
V819 Her	1990.2651	0.074	190.2	Hartkopf et al. (1992)
V819 Her	1991.3192	0.046	252.4	Hartkopf et al. (1994)
V819 Her	1992.3104	0.048	123.4	Hartkopf et al. (1994)
V819 Her	1992.6086	0.069	141.2	Balega et al. (1999)
V819 Her	1993.2058	0.096	149.9	Hartkopf et al. (1994)
V819 Her	1994.7078	0.102	169.8	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V819 Her	1995.3138	0.086	177.9	Hartkopf et al. (1997)
V819 Her	1999.5681	0.115	164.0	Horch et al. (2002)
V819 Her	1999.5681	0.109	160.4	Horch et al. (2002)
V819 Her	2000.5563	0.101	175.6	Horch et al. (2002)
V819 Her	2002.3228	0.039	246.7	Horch et al. (2006)
V819 Her	2002.3229	0.035	248.4	Horch et al. (2006)
V819 Her	2003.6336	0.066	136.0	Horch et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2003.6336	0.067	136.6	Horch et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.28198	0.09800	149.57	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.28479	0.09691	150.25	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.2861	0.09800	149.57	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.2889	0.09691	150.25	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.32031	0.09901	150.21	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.3244	0.09901	150.21	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.33948	0.09908	150.71	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.3435	0.09908	150.71	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.35852	0.09968	150.95	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.3627	0.09968	150.95	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.37769	0.09980	151.45	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.38037	0.10021	151.18	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.3818	0.09980	151.45	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.3845	0.10021	151.18	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.44324	0.10133	152.35	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.4473	0.10133	152.35	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.45422	0.10181	152.29	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.45691	0.10193	152.44	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.4583	0.10181	152.29	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.4610	0.10193	152.44	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.47876	0.10197	152.91	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.48145	0.10223	152.90	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.4829	0.10197	152.91	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.4856	0.10223	152.90	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.49243	0.10192	153.39	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.49512	0.10223	153.17	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.4965	0.10192	153.39	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.4992	0.10223	153.17	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)

Table 9: Positional measurements used for the analysis.

System	Year	ρ [arcs]	ϕ [deg]	Reference
V819 Her	2004.52234	0.10308	153.38	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.52502	0.10322	153.35	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.5265	0.10308	153.38	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.52795	0.10194	154.41	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.5292	0.10322	153.35	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.5320	0.10194	154.41	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.55249	0.10349	153.34	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.5566	0.10349	153.34	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.56885	0.10390	153.95	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.57153	0.10406	153.99	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.5730	0.10390	153.95	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.5757	0.10406	153.99	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.58801	0.10384	153.55	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.5921	0.10384	153.55	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.60706	0.10455	154.45	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.60986	0.10478	154.43	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.6112	0.10455	154.45	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.6140	0.10478	154.43	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.62073	0.10502	154.57	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.62354	0.10483	154.68	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.6248	0.10502	154.57	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.62634	0.10514	154.53	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.6276	0.10483	154.68	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.62891	0.10479	154.89	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.6304	0.10514	154.53	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.6330	0.10479	154.89	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2004.66443	0.10553	155.21	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2004.6686	0.10553	155.21	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2005.25964	0.10758	163.02	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2005.2637	0.10758	163.02	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2005.30066	0.10773	163.58	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2005.3047	0.10773	163.58	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2005.33606	0.10731	164.03	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2005.3402	0.10731	164.03	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2005.58459	0.10538	166.85	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2005.5888	0.10538	166.85	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2006.37634	0.08879	179.20	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2006.3805	0.08879	179.20	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2006.5169	0.085	182.4	Horch et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2006.5196	0.085	182.0	Horch et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2006.60034	0.08207	183.55	Muterspaugh et al. (2008)
V819 Her	2006.6044	0.08207	183.55	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2007.3171	0.05080	205.86	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2007.3198	0.05479	205.56	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2007.3222	0.054	205.4	Horch et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2007.3278	0.053	205.0	Horch et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2007.3335	0.05585	210.50	Muterspaugh et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2007.4207	0.050	209.5	Horch et al. (2010)
V819 Her	2008.4744	0.0202	352.7	Horch et al. (2011)
V819 Her	2009.4545	0.084	143.8	Horch et al. (2012)
V819 Her	2010.4731	0.1079	158.6	Horch et al. (2011)
V2388 Oph	1963.690	0.108	11.6	Finsen (1964)
V2388 Oph	1979.3627	0.068	185.6	McAlister & Hendry (1982)
V2388 Oph	1979.5320	0.069	176.4	McAlister & Hendry (1982)
V2388 Oph	1980.4768	0.055	113.2	McAlister et al. (1983)
V2388 Oph	1980.7171	0.062	79.0	McAlister et al. (1983)
V2388 Oph	1981.4678	0.064	27.2	McAlister et al. (1984)
V2388 Oph	1981.4707	0.070	35.0	McAlister et al. (1984)
V2388 Oph	1981.7001	0.078	26.6	McAlister et al. (1984)
V2388 Oph	1982.5056	0.095	357.3	Hartkopf et al. (1996)
V2388 Oph	1982.5192	0.103	352.8	Fu et al. (1997)
V2388 Oph	1983.4255	0.121	327.4	McAlister et al. (1987)
V2388 Oph	1983.7152	0.121	326.5	McAlister et al. (1987)
V2388 Oph	1984.3787	0.109	304.6	McAlister et al. (1987)
V2388 Oph	1984.3842	0.108	306.0	McAlister et al. (1987)
V2388 Oph	1985.5203	0.103	278.8	McAlister et al. (1987b)

Table 10: Positional measurements used for the analysis.

System	Year	ρ [arcs]	ϕ [deg]	Reference
V2388 Oph	1986.4050	0.097	258.8	Balega et al. (1989)
V2388 Oph	1986.4100	0.099	257.9	McAlister et al. (1989)
V2388 Oph	1986.6563	0.095	249.8	Balega et al. (1989)
V2388 Oph	1987.2700	0.082	231.8	McAlister et al. (1989)
V2388 Oph	1987.3724	0.078	226.1	Balega et al. (1989)
V2388 Oph	1988.2611	0.063	190.5	McAlister et al. (1989)
V2388 Oph	1988.6655	0.056	157.1	McAlister et al. (1990)
V2388 Oph	1989.2305	0.058	130.4	McAlister et al. (1990)
V2388 Oph	1990.2733	0.070	40.7	Hartkopf et al. (1996)
V2388 Oph	1990.3469	0.081	29.4	Hartkopf et al. (1996)
V2388 Oph	1992.3132	0.099	329.7	Hartkopf et al. (1994)
V2388 Oph	1994.7079	0.102	278.6	Hartkopf et al. (1996)
V2388 Oph	1995.3113	0.102	254.8	Hartkopf et al. (1997)
V2388 Oph	1996.3242	0.090	232.5	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V2388 Oph	1996.5289	0.081	224.3	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V2388 Oph	2005.797	0.099	211.0	Rucinski et al. (2007)
V2388 Oph	2006.5170	0.068	176.2	Horch et al. (2008)
V2388 Oph	2008.4610	0.063	29.2	Horch et al. (2010)
V1031 Ori	1980.7291	0.159	284.0	McAlister et al. (1983)
V1031 Ori	1983.0665	0.163	283.8	McAlister et al. (1987)
V1031 Ori	1984.0605	0.163	290.0	McAlister et al. (1987)
V1031 Ori	1984.7870	0.168	288.8	Tokovinin (1985)
V1031 Ori	1985.7450	0.159	292.6	Tokovinin & Ismailov (1988)
V1031 Ori	1985.8544	0.176	289.3	McAlister et al. (1987)
V1031 Ori	1988.1729	0.180	289.5	McAlister et al. (1993)
V1031 Ori	1988.2545	0.178	294.1	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V1031 Ori	1989.9363	0.174	292.7	Hartkopf et al. (1993)
V1031 Ori	1990.3486	0.183	293.7	Hartkopf et al. (1993)
V1031 Ori	1990.7554	0.173	287.4	Hartkopf et al. (1992)
V1031 Ori	1990.9244	0.178	293.7	Hartkopf et al. (1996)
V1031 Ori	1991.2500	0.176	295.0	Perryman et al. (1997)
V1031 Ori	1991.7161	0.179	294.5	Hartkopf et al. (1996)
V1031 Ori	1993.0980	0.169	295.7	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V1031 Ori	1993.2050	0.166	299.6	Hartkopf et al. (1994)
V1031 Ori	1995.7686	0.156	298.5	Hartkopf et al. (1997)
V1031 Ori	1996.1833	0.153	298.8	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V1031 Ori	1996.8717	0.155	301.7	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V1031 Ori	1997.1310	0.151	302.8	Hartkopf et al. (2000)
V1031 Ori	2008.7678	0.1004	278.4	Tokovinin et al. (2010)
V1031 Ori	2009.2650	0.1089	279.1	Tokovinin et al. (2010)
V1031 Ori	2010.9658	0.1292	282.1	Hartkopf et al. (2012)
V1031 Ori	2012.9207	0.1481	285.2	Tokovinin (2014)